



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

08/828,330

03/28/1997

WILLIAM D. MORGAN

IAEC:007USR1

4766

32425 7590 05/23/2008  
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.  
600 CONGRESS AVE.  
SUITE 2400  
AUSTIN, TX 78701

EXAMINER

CANFIELD, ROBERT

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3600

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/23/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                       |   |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>08/828,330  | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MORGAN, WILLIAM D. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>ROBERT J. CANFIELD | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3600                   |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERT J. CANFIELD. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Garrett. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 50 and 55.

Identification of prior art discussed: Walker4,590,714.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Garrett called to discuss the 112(1) rejection of claims 50 and 55 with respect to the "removably linked" language. The examiner agreed that this language was acceptable as one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the means for linking of the original disclosure could be removable. Examiner also noted that there appears to be 103 obviousness issues of claims 50 and 55 in view of Walker.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Robert Canfield/SPE 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required